

Idaho Transportation Department District 6 US 20; Ashton to SH-87 Jct.

KN 23229



Alternative Evaluation Questions

| Criteria | Level 1 Crit | eria Questions | Level 1 Responses | Level 2 Criteria Questions | Level 2 Responses | Level 3 Criteria Questions | Level 3 Responses |
|---------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Safety | Does the alternative provide opportunities to improve safety? | | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | Does the alternative provide opportunity to address geometric deficiencies? | Better/Good/Fair/Neutral/Worse | What is the crash reduction expected for the alternative | Quantitative crash rate data. |
| | | | | Does the alternative provide opportunities to address driver behavior concerns? | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | |
| Mobility | Does the alternative increase mobility? | | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | Does the alternative provide for capacity needs? | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Quantitative congestion data. |
| Widdinty | | | | Does the alternative provide opportunities to address freight needs/concerns? | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | |
| Future Corridor Travel Needs | Will the alternative improve travel time and less | en delays for future traffic needs? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Quantitative travel time data. |
| | Visual | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to visual resources? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Agriculture and Forest Resources | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to agricultural and forest resources? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Air Quality | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to air quality? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Biological Resources | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to biological resources? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Cultural Resources | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to cultural resources? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| Environmental | Geology and Soils | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to geology and soils? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Hazards and Hazardous Materials | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to hazardous materials? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Hydrology and Water Quality | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts to hydrology and water quality? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Land use and Transportation Planning | Does the alternative provide benefits indicated on land use and trasportation plans. | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Noise | Does the alternative create problematic or unmitigatable impacts relating to noise? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| | Social and Economics | Does the alternative enhance or improve economic opportunities? Does the alternative impact the social aspects of the community? | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | Better/Good/ Fair/Neutral/Worse | | Relative Score from route finding tool. |
| Additional Project Needs | Does the alternative address any additional project needs identified? | | Better/Good/ Fair/Negative | | | | TBD |

The part shaded in blue would be what we could show at the workshop



US-20; Ashton to SH-87 Jct. PEL Level 1 Screening Worksheet KN 23229 Response to Question

Very Effectively Better

Effective Good

does not affect Neutral
less effective Negative

| | | | | | | | | × | less effective negative effect | Negative Very Negative | 1 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Crit | eria | Safety | Mobility | Future Corridor Travel Needs | | | | | | Environ | nmental | | | | | Additional | |
| | | Descriptions of Alternative | | | | Visual | Agriculture and Forest Resources | Air Quality | Biological Resources | Cultural Resources | Geology and Soils | Hazards and Hazardous Material | Hydrology and Water Quality | Land Use and Transportation Plans | Noise | Social and Economics | Considerations for Needs and Goals | Notes |
| | | · | Does the | Does the | | | Resources | - | | | | Material | | | | Does the alternative | | |
| Level 1 Screening Question | Developed By | | alternative provide | alternative increase | Will the alternative improve travel time and lessen delays | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative | Does the alternative avoid/minimize impacts | Does the alternative | avoid/minimize impcts to | Does the alternative | What refinements combinations or adjustments usual your |
| Question | | | opportunities to improve safety? | mobility? | for future traffic needs? | avoid/minimize the visual attributes landscape? | avoid/minimize impacts to agriculture and forest | avoid/minimize air quality impacts? | avoid/minimize impacts to biological resources? | avoid/minimize impacts to cultural resources? | avoid/minimize impacts to the geology and soils? | avoid/minimize impacts stemming from potential or | avoid/minimize impacts to | resutling from imcompatability with land | avoid/minimize impacts to sensitive noise reciptors? | economic opportunities? Does the alternative avoid/minimize | attain any additional | What refinements, combinations or adjustments would you consider if it moves forward. |
| | | | , , | | | attributes iuriuscupe. | resources? | quality impacts. | to biological resources. | cultural resources. | to the geology and solo. | likely hazardous materials? | nyarology and water quality. | use and transportation plans. | sensitive noise recipions. | impacts the social aspects of the community? | project goals. | |
| SA1-A1 | HOR | Study Area 1 On-Alignment | • | • | • | 0 | • | 0 | • | | 0 | 0 | • | Х | • | • | | Bad with approaches |
| SA1-B1 | HOR | NB Through Ashton; SB West of Ashton No IC west of Ashton | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | ۰ | | 0 | | | | |
| SA1-C1 SA1-C2 | HOR/PM (Drew/Curtis) (Ar HOR | Realignment West of Ashton with IC at SH-87 Realignmment Far West of Ashton | | | | | | | x | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | I like C1 better than C2 |
| SA1-C3 | HOR | Realignment to the East of Ashton | | | • | • | • | • | • | ă | х | 0 | 8 | ¥ | ě | š | | Do not Like this one |
| | | NB & SB West of Ashton No IC west of Ashton | | | | | | | | | 0 | ۰ | | | | | | |
| SA1-C4 | HOR | Move US-20 to the East after the Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | conservancy? |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA2-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA2-B1 | HOR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA2-C1 | HOR | SB West of Ashton Hills Estate, NB on Existing Alignment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA2-C2 | HOR | SB Far West of Existing Alignment, NB on Existing Alignment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA2-C3 | HOR | NB East of Existing Alignment, SB on Existing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA2-C3 SA2-C4 | PM | Alignment Ashton Hills Estate Access | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the | | | | | | | Ι Τ | | | | | | | | | |
| SA3-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | - | | |
| C42.04 | uon | lane is on the existing road the southbound | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA3-B1 | HOR | lane is shifted West On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA3-B2 | HOR | lane is on the existing road the NB lane is shifted East | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA4-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road On alignment 2 lanes each direction shifted to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA4-B1 | HOR | the East; Acceleration lanes at public approaches | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA4-C1 SA4-C2 | HOR HOR | ReRoute US-20 Far East of Existing US-20 ReRoute US-20 East of Existing US-20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA5-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA5-B1 | HOR | lane is on the existing road the southbound lane is shifted West | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB lane is on the existing road the NB lane is | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA5-B2 | HOR | shifted East | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA5-B3 | HOR | Round about at Mesa Falls Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On all and and a large state of the state of the | ✓ Check Box 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-A1 | HOR | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the existing road is in between the proposed road | Check Box 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB lane is on the existing road the southbound | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-B1 | HOR | lane is shifted West | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAG D2 | нов | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB lane is on the existing road the NB lane is | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-B2 | HOR | shifted East Realign US-20 (NB&SB) East of the Existing US- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C1 | HOR | US-20 Shift West across the River at last | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C2 | PM (Drew/Curtis) | chance (M.P. 381-386) New County Road to connect from US-20 to S | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C3 | PM(Jeremie) | Big Springs Loop New County Road to connect from US-20 to N | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | Big Springs Loop, Remove Approach across | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C4 | PM(Jeremie) | from Sawtell Peak Road New Frontage Road East of US-20 at Elk Creek | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Road; Restrict access from US-20 to businesses, Business access from new frontage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C5 | PM(Jeremie) | road New non-moterized Recreational trail from | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | M.P 379 -401); East side of the road between | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | M.P. 379-394.7, West side of the Road from 394.7-401. New Bridge crossings at Osborne | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Bridge, Buffalo River, Henry's Fork River, Across US-20 at Sawtell, and Henry's lake | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C7 | PM(Jason) | Outlet Bridge (Circles Represent Grade Seperated Crossing of US-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C8 | | Roundabout at Yale Kilgore Road (M.P. 389.2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PM(Jason) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C9 | PM(Jason) (Anne/ Macey) | Roundabout at S. Big Springs Loop (M.P. 392.6) | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | - | | |
| SA6-C10 | PM (Jason) | Roundabout at Sawtell Peak Road (M.P. 394.3) Interchange at M.P. 392.6; raise US-20 Bridge | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | |
| | | over the river; Access both sides under the bridge on North and South of the River under | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | raised bridge. Add Recreation bridge on the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C12 | PIM . | East and Frontage Road Bridge on the West Interchange at M.P. 389.4; Reroute US-20 East | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C13 | PM | of Existing US-20 Reroute US-20 East of Existing Alignment with | | | | | | | + + | | - | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C14 | PM | Overpass at S Big Springs Road | | | | | | L | | | | | | | | | | |

A A C A WyScreening Recommendation

| | | | | | | | | | , |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Frontage Road east of US-20 with Roundabout | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C15 | PM (Anne/Macey) | at M.P. 382.6 connect to old Highway | | | | | | | |
| | | Frontage Road east of US-20 between M.P 387- | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C16 | PM(Anne/Macey) | 389.4; Interchange at M.P. 388 | | | | | | | |
| | | Frontage Road East of US-20 at Elk Creek Road, | | | | | | | |
| | | with On/Off Ramps and bike Pedestrian | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C17 | PM(Stephanie) | Tunnel | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C18 | PM(Macey/Jennifer) | Roundabout at M.P. 383.5 | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C19 | PM(Macey/Jennifer) | Left Turn Lanes at M.P. 383.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Left Turn Lanes at M.P. 394.3 | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C20 | PM(Macey/Jennifer) | Left Turn Lanes at M.P. 394.3 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Realign Yale-Kilgore to line up with Phillips | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C21 | PM(Ben) | Loop road and add traffic signal at intersection | | | | | | | |
| | | Traffic Signal at S. Big Springs Loop Road M.P. | | | | | | 1 | |
| SA6-C22 | PM(Ben) | 392.6 | | - | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| SA6-C23 | PM(Ben) | Traffic Signal at Sawtell Peak Road (m.P. 394.3) Frontage Road East of US-20 M.P. 393 to 394 | | - | | | | 1 | |
| SA6-C24 | PM (Rachel) | Frontage Road East of US-20 M.P. 393 to 394 | | - | | | | 1 | |
| SA6-C25 | PM | Overpass at M.P. 394.6 | | - | | | | 1 | |
| | | Change Grade at Yale Kilgore; Add Free | | | | | | 1 | |
| SA6-C26 SA6-C27 | PM | running right from Yale Kilgore to US-20 | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C27 | PM | New Intersection North of Sawtell Peak Road | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| SA6-C28 | | Traffic signal at Sawtell Peak Road (m.P. 394.3) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the | | | | | | | |
| SA7-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB | | | | | | | |
| | | lane is on the existing road the southbound | | | | | | | |
| SA7-B1 | HOR | lane is shifted West | | | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB | | | | | | | |
| | | lane is on the existing road the NB lane is | | | | | | | |
| SA7-B2 | HOR | shifted East | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | |



US-20; Ashton to SH-87. Level 1 Screening W KN 23229

Will the alternative improve travel time and lessen delays for future traffic needs?

Safety

Designer Check of GIS Services

| Key | onse to Que | Description of Respons | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | ery Effectiv | Better | | | | |
| | | Effective | Good | | | | |
| | 8 | oes not affe | Neutral | | | | |
| | | ess effectiv | Negative | | | | |
| | x | egative effe | ery Negativ | | | | |

Notes

e avoid/mi imize impcts to

Environmental

| | | Descriptions of Alternative |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Level 1 Screening Question | Developed By | |
| 5A1-A1 | HOR | Study Area 1 On-Alignment |
| SA1-B1 | HOR | NB Through Ashton; SB West of Ashton No IC west of Ashton |
| A1-C1 | HOR/PM (Drew/Curtis) (An | Realignment West of Ashton with IC at SH-87 |
| A1-C2 A1-C3 | HOR HOR | Realignment Far West of Ashton Realignment to the East of Ashton |
| | | NR & SR West of Ashton No IC west of Ashton |
| 6A1-C4 | HOR | Move US-20 to the East after the Bridge |
| 5A2-A1 | HOR | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the existing road is in between the proposed road |
| SA2-B1 | HOR | SB West of Ashton Hills Estate, NB on Existing |
| SA2-C1 | HOR | Alignment |
| SA2-C2 | HOR | SB Far West of Existing Alignment, NB on Existing Alignment |
| 5A2-C3 | HOR | NB East of Existing Alignment, SB on Existing Alignment |
| SA2-C4 | PM | Ashton Hills Estate Access |
| CSA1/2-C1 | HOR/PM (Drew Curtis) | Combined SA1-C1, and SA2-C1 |
| CSA3/6-C4 | PM | Shift US-20 to the East add Interchanges at Last Chance Elks, Mack, and Sawtell |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the |
| SA3-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB |
| CA2.D1 | HOB | lane is on the existing road the southbound |
| SA3-B1 | HOR | lane is shifted West On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB |
| 5A3-B2 | HOR | lane is on the existing road the NB lane is shifted East |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the |
| SA4-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction shifted to the East; Acceleration lanes at public |
| SA4-B1 SA4-C1 | HOR HOR | approaches ReRoute US-20 Far East of Existing US-20 |
| 5A4-C2 | HOR | ReRoute US-20 East of Existing US-20 |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction: the |
| SA5-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB |
| | | lane is on the existing road the southbound |
| SA5-B1 | HOR | lane is shifted West On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB |
| SA5-B2 | HOR | lane is on the existing road the NB lane is shifted East |
| 5A5-B3 | HOR | Round about at Mesa Falls Road |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the |
| SA6-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB lane is on the existing road the southbound |
| SA6-B1 | HOR | lane is shifted West On alignment 2 lanes each direction: the SB |
| SA6-B2 | HOR | lane is on the existing road the NB lane is shifted East |
| | | Realign US-20 (NB&SB) East of the Existing US- |
| 5A6-C1 | HOR | 20 US-20 Shift West across the River at last chance |
| SA6-C2 | PM (Drew/Curtis) | (M.P. 381-386) New County Road to connect from US-20 to S |
| 5A6-C3 | PM(Jeremie) | Big Springs Loop New County Road to connect from US-20 to N |
| SA6-C4 | PM(Jeremie) | New County Road to connect from US-20 to N Big Springs Loop, Remove Approach across from Sawtell Peak Road |
| | 1 | New Frontage Road East of US-20 at Elk Creek |
| SA6-C5 | PM(Jeremie) | Road; Restrict access from US-20 to businesses, Business access from new frontage road |
| 3A0-C3 | rwiperenne) | New non-moterized Recreational trail from |
| | | M.P. 379 -401); East side of the road between M.P. 379-394.7, West side of the Road from |
| | | 394.7-401. New Bridge crossings at Osborne Bridge, Buffalo River, Henry's Fork River, |
| | 1 | Across US-20 at Sawtell, and Henry's lake |
| SA6-C7 | PM(Jason) | Outlet Bridge (Circles Represent Grade Seperated Crossing of US-20) |
| 5A6-C8 | PM(Jason) | Roundabout at Yale Kilgore Road (M.P. 389.2) |
| SA6-C9 | PM(Jason) (Anne/ Macey) | Roundabout at S. Big Springs Loop (M.P. 392.6) |
| SA6-C10 | PM (Jason) | Roundabout at Sawtell Peak Road (M.P. 394.3) |
| | | Interchange at M.P. 392.6; raise US-20 Bridge |
| | 1 | over the river; Access both sides under the bridge on North and South of the River under |
| SA6-C12 | PM | raised bridge. Add Recreation bridge on the East and Frontage Road Bridge on the West |
| | t | Interchange at M.P. 389.4; Reroute US-20 East |
| | | |
| 5A6-C13 | PM PM | of Existing US-20 Reroute US-20 East of Existing Alignment with Overpass at S Big Springs Road |

| | | Frontage Road east of US-20 between M.P 387- | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| SA6-C16 | PM(Anne/Macey) | 389.4; Interchange at M.P. 388 | | | | | |
| | | Frontage Road East of US-20 at Elk Creek Road, | | | | | |
| | | with On/Off Ramps and bike Pedestrian | | | | | |
| SA6-C17 | PM(Stephanie) | Tunnel | | | | | |
| SA6-C18 | PM(Macey/Jennifer) | Roundabout at M.P. 383.5 | | | | | |
| SA6-C19 | PM(Macey/Jennifer) | Left Turn Lanes at M.P. 383.5 | | | | | |
| SA6-C20 | PM(Macey/Jennifer) | Left Turn Lanes at M.P. 394.3 | | | | | |
| | | Realign Yale-Kilgore to line up with Phillips | | | | | |
| SA6-C21 | PM(Ben) | Loop road and add traffic signal at intersection | | | | | |
| 3A0-C21 | Pivi(Bell) | Traffic Signal at S. Big Springs Loop Road M.P. | | | | | |
| SA6-C22 | PM(Ben) | 392.6 | | | | | |
| 3A0-C22 | rivi(ben) | 332.0 | | | | | |
| SA6-C23 | PM(Ben) | Traffic Signal at Sawtell Peak Road (m.P. 394.3) | | | | | |
| SA6-C24 | PM (Rachel) | Frontage Road East of US-20 M.P. 393 to 394 | | | | | |
| SA6-C25 | PM | Overpass at M.P. 394.6 | | | | | |
| 3AU-C23 | r ivi | Change Grade at Yale Kilgore; Add Free | | | | | |
| SA6-C26 | PM | running right from Yale Kilgore to US-20 | | | | | |
| SA6-C27 | PM | New Intersection North of Sawtell Peak Road | | | | | |
| SAO CE7 | | new mersection north or sawten reak nout | | | | | |
| SA6-C28 | | Traffic signal at Sawtell Peak Road (m.P. 394.3) | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 3 lance each diseastic | | | | | |
| | 1100 | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the | | | | | |
| SA7-A1 | HOR | existing road is in between the proposed road | | | | | |
| | | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the NB | | | | | |
| | uon | lane is on the existing road the southbound lane is shifted West | | | | | |
| SA7-B1 | HOR | On alignment 2 lanes each direction; the SB | | | | | |
| | | lane is on the existing road the NB lane is | | | | | |
| SA7-B2 | HOR | shifted East | | | | | |
| 3A7-DZ | HOK | Stillten East | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |